THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE May 28, 2008

Staff Report

REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Crystal Alvarez

Applicant: Community Development Commission of Mendocino County

Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt \$4,750,000

Project Name: Clara Court Apartments

Project Address: 600 N. Orchard Avenue

Project City, County, Zip Code: Ukiah, Mendocino, 95482

Project Sponsor Information:

Name: Rural Communities Housing Development Corporation

Principals: Duane Hill, Lois Goforth, Rowland Mosser, and

Roberto Muniz

Project Financing Information:

Bond Counsel: Quint & Thimmig, LLP

Underwriter: Not Applicable **Credit Enhancement Provider**: Not Applicable

Private Placement Purchaser: Bank of America, N.A

TEFRA Hearing: June 19, 2007

Description of Proposed Project:

State Ceiling Pool: Rural

Total Number of Units: 32, plus 0 manager unit

Type: New Construction

Type of Units: Family

Description of Public Benefits:

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

41% (13 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households; and 59% (19 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: 1, 2, and 3 bedrooms

Term of Restrictions: 55 years

Estimated Total Develop	ment Cost	\$8.798.290
estimated Lotal Developi	nent Cost:	JO. 190.29U

Estimated Hard Costs per Unit: \$ 140,050 (\$5,411,601/32 units) **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ 274,947 (\$8,798,290/32 units) **Allocation per Unit:** \$ 148,438 (\$4,750,000/32 units)

Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit: \$ 148,438 (\$4,750,000/32 restricted units)

•	, , ,	,
Sources of Funds:	Construction	<u>Permanent</u>
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$4,750,000	\$ 850,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$ 0	\$ 210,958
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$ 700,000	\$3,058,229
NeighborWorks	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
RCHDC Loan	\$ 0	\$ 525,000
Direct & Indirect Public Funds	\$2,547,500	\$4,004,103
Total Sources	\$8,147,500	\$8,798,290
Uses of Funds:		
Land Purchase	\$ 430,000	
On-Site & Off-Site Costs	\$ 930,000	
Hard Construction Costs	\$4,481,601	
	Ψ1,101,001	
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$ 270,000	
Architect & Engineering Fees Contractor Overhead & Profit		
9	\$ 270,000	
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$ 270,000 \$ 343,627	
Contractor Overhead & Profit Developer Fee	\$ 270,000 \$ 343,627 \$1,027,030	
Contractor Overhead & Profit Developer Fee Cost of Issuance	\$ 270,000 \$ 343,627 \$1,027,030 \$ 80,625	

Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

\$8,798,290

Total Points: 85.5 out of 128

[See Attachment A]

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$4,750,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation, of which \$4,500,000 million of the allocation is on a carryforward basis.

Total Uses

ATTACHMENT A

EVALUATION SCORING:

	Maximum	Maximum	
	Points Allowed	Points Allowed	
Point Criteria	for Non-Mixed	for Mixed	Points Scored
	Income	Income	
	Projects	Projects	
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:			
Non-Mixed Income Project	35	15	35
Mixed Income Project			
3			
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in	[10]	[10]	10
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project]			
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	0
Site Amenities	10	10	7.5
Service Amenities	10	10	0
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	8
N C	10	10	10
New Construction	10	10	10
Nagativa Painta	NA	NA	NA
Negative Points	INA	INA	INA
Total Points	128	108	85.5
Total Louits	120	100	05.5

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.